

*In addition to the potential regulatory concepts related to SB 1383's mandates that are being discussed at this workshop, CalRecycle is additionally seeking input on the existing AB 939 jurisdiction review process. In light of these new waste reduction mandates it is important to reexamine how the 939 mandate is implemented. I.e., what about the 939 process might be updated, changed or made more effective?*

*CalRecycle is seeking feedback on adjustments that could be made without statutory changes as well as changes that may require statutory adjustments.*

## **AB 939 Questions**

### **Formal Review Process:**

1. Are there ways to streamline the formal jurisdiction review process?
2. What should CalRecycle's formal review of jurisdictions' AB 939 programs look like in 2022?
3. How can we ensure that AB 939 programs are maintained?
4. Should CalRecycle apply the same authority as it has for MCR and MORE to review a Jurisdiction at any time for failure to adequately implement a single program, such as procurement requirements or C&D programs?

### **Annual Reporting Process:**

1. Are there ways to streamline the annual reporting process?
2. Should and how can we modify/adjust the reporting process to make it more effective for jurisdictions and CalRecycle?

### **Planning Requirements:**

1. Are there ways to streamline or update existing planning requirements?
2. Should provisions such as the 5-year review report and Summary Plan documents be deleted?
3. What other statutory provisions should be deleted?
4. Should additional planning requirements be considered?

### **AB 939 Jurisdiction Program Specificity:**

1. Are the existing program requirements specific enough to allow jurisdictions and CalRecycle to know if the programs were implemented adequately?
2. Should programs be prescribed with specific best management practices?

### **Enforcement Process:**

1. Are there any recommended changes to the enforcement process?
2. Should the enforcement process be expedited?